arXiv:1402.0320v2 [hep-th] 11 Nov 2014

$2+1$ dimensional gravity from Maxwell and semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge symmetric models

S. Hoseinzadeh * and **A. Rezaei-Aghdam** †

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, 53714-161, Tabriz, Iran

November 12, 2014

Abstract

We obtain $2+1$ dimensional gravity with cosmological constant which is coupled to gauge fields, using Maxwell and semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge symmetric models (i.e. Chern-Simons models with these gauge groups). Also, we obtain some *Ads* and BTZ type solutions for the classical equations of motion for these $2+1$ dimensional gravities. For the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge group we investigate the Ads/CFT correspondence and show that the model at the boundary is equivalent to the sum of three WZW models over group $SO(2,1)$. Then, we show that the central charge of the CFT is the same as that of CFT at the boundary of *Ads* spacetime related to the Chern-Simons model with gauge group $SO(2,2)$. Finally, we show that these two $2+1$ dimensional gravity models are dual (canonically transformed) to each other.

*e-mail: hoseinzadeh@azaruniv.edu

†Corresponding author. e-mail: rezaei-a@azaruniv.edu

1 Introduction

Maxwell algebra ($\mathcal{M}$) was introduced four decade ago [1], [2] by replacing commuting four-momenta in Poincaré algebra (of 4 dimensional spacetime) with noncommuting ones; resulting in new Abelian generators. Nearly at that time the noncommutative four-momenta with the Lorentz generators[1] (the de Sitter spacetime algebra) have been applied for unifying a geometric formulation of gravity and supergravity resulting in the cosmological term [3]. Recently, a generalized cosmological term was resulted by gauging the Maxwell algebra (without gauge invariance) [4]. Also, in [5] a gauge invariant model by gauging the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebra[2] ($\mathcal{S}$) has been presented. There are other applications of Maxwell symmetries of 4 dimensional spacetime such as its supersymmetrization [6] and cosmological applications (see for example [7]). Up to our knowledge, the Maxwell algebra and its nonabelian extension i.e. the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebra in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime have not yet been studied. Here, we will try to study them and obtain $2+1$ dimensional gravity from Maxwell and semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge symmetric models. Then, we will show that these models are equivalent to Chern-Simons models over those gauge groups namely they are exactly soluble models. We will also obtain some solutions, (black holes and Ads) for their equations of motion; and study the Ads/CFT correspondence for the last model at the boundary and obtain the central charge of the CFT. The outlines of the paper are as follows:
In section two, after presenting the Maxwell algebra of $2+1$ dimensional spacetime we will try to gauge this symmetries and obtain the gauge invariant gravitational model as in [8] where Witten obtained a $2+1$ dimensional gravity by $ISO(2,1)$ gauge group. Here, the result is a $2+1$ dimensional gravity without cosmological term which is coupled to Abelian gauge fields, and similar to [8] this model is equivalent to Chern-Simons model with Maxwell gauge group i.e. it is exactly soluble model. Then, we solve the equations of motion for this model. We obtain flat and BTZ [9] type solutions, such that here we have Abelian gauge fields coupled to $2+1$ dimensional gravity. In section three, we will try to perform these works for the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebra. In section four, we will study the Ads/CFT correspondence (as [10] and [11]) for the Chern-Simons model with the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge group (S). We shall show that the $\mathcal{S}$ algebra can be rewritten as a direct sum of three $SO(2,1)$ algebras, and show that at the boundary the C-S action can be written as a sum of three chiral WZW models over the group $SO(2,1)$. Then, we obtain the central charge of the CFT at the boundary and show that the central charge of the CFT is the same as that of CFT at the boundary of Ads spacetime related to the Chern-Simons model with gauge group $SO(2,2)$. Then, we show that these two $2+1$ dimensional gravities are dual to each other (i.e. we show that they are canonically transformed to each other). Some concluding remarks are given in section five.

2 2+1 dimensional gravity from Maxwell gauge algebra and Chern-Simons action

In this section, we will construct gauge invariant action with Maxwell gauge group in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime and investigate its relation to $2+1$ dimensional pure gravity and Chern-Simons action, similarly as Witten obtained a $2+1$ dimensional gravity from $ISO(2,1)$ gauge group in [8]. Let us first consider the commutation relations for the Poincaré algebra in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime

$$[J_a, J_b] = \epsilon_{abc} J^c, \qquad [J_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c, \qquad [P_a, P_b] = 0, \tag{1}$$

where J_a and P_a $(a = 0, 1, 2)$ are generators of rotation and translation in spacetime.[3] As for the 3+1 dimensional spacetime [1] (see also [4]) one can write the $D = 2+1$ nine dimensional Maxwell algebra $\mathcal{M} = (J_a, P_a, Z_a)$ by a noncommutative modification of the Abelian three-momenta commutators in the Poincaré algebra as follows:[4]

$$[J_a, J_b] = \epsilon_{abc} J^c, \qquad [J_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c, \qquad [P_a, P_b] = \Lambda \epsilon_{abc} Z^c,$$

$$[J_a, Z_b] = \epsilon_{abc} Z^c, \qquad [P_a, Z_b] = 0, \qquad [Z_a, Z_b] = 0, \tag{2}$$

where Z_a's are new generators and Λ is a constant. We see that $\mathcal{I} = \{Z_a\}$ is an Abelian ideal of the Maxwell algebra $\mathcal{M}$, hence the $D = 2+1$ nine dimensional Maxwell Lie algebra is nonsemi-simple and indeed it is an

[1] $[P_a, P_b] \sim J_{ab}$ where J_{ab} are the Lorentz generators.
[2] Here the new generators resulted from noncommuting four-momentum are non-Abelian (see (35)).
[3] The rotation generators have the form J_{ab}, here we use the $J^a = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc} J_{bc}$ form for these generators.
[4] Note that the commutator $[J_a, Z_b]$ can be obtained from Jacobi identity.

algebraic Lie algebra i.e., $[\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}]=\mathcal{M}$. Now, to obtain a gauge invariant action with $D=2+1$ Maxwell gauge group we need to construct a gauge field which is Maxwell algebra valued one form as follows:

$$h=h_i\ dx^i,$$

$$h_i=h_i{}^B X_B=e_i{}^a P_a+\omega_i{}^a J_a+A_i{}^a Z_a, \tag{3}$$

where $i,j=0,1,2$ are spacetime indices such that the one form fields are defined as follows:

$$e^a=e_i{}^a dx^i \quad , \quad \omega^a=\omega_i{}^a dx^i \quad , \quad A^a=A_i{}^a dx^i, \tag{4}$$

where $e_i{}^a,\omega_i{}^a$ are vierbein and spin connection, respectively. Furthermore, here we have new Abelian gauge fields $A_i{}^a$. To obtain the gauge transformations of these gauge fields we use the following infinitesimal gauge parameter:

$$u=\rho^a P_a+\tau^a J_a+\lambda^a Z_a. \tag{5}$$

In this way, using the following relation for the gauge transformations:

$$h_i\to h_i'=U^{-1}h_iU+U^{-1}\partial_i U, \tag{6}$$

with $U=e^{-u}\simeq 1-u$ and $U^{-1}=e^{u}\simeq 1+u$, we obtain the following transformations for the gauge fields:

$$\delta e_i{}^a=-\partial_i\rho^a-\epsilon^{abc}e_{ib}\ \tau_c-\epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ib}\ \rho_c\ ,$$

$$\delta\omega_i{}^a=-\partial_i\tau^a-\epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ib}\ \tau_c\ , \tag{7}$$

$$\delta A_i{}^a=-\partial_i\lambda^a-\Lambda\epsilon^{abc}e_{ib}\ \rho_c-\epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ib}\ \lambda_c-\epsilon^{abc}A_{ib}\ \tau_c\ .$$

As we expect, the gauge transformations of the vierbein and spin connection are the same as that of [8], and only here we have new gauge fields and their transformations. Now, to write the topological and gauge invariant action of the form $\int\mathcal{R}^A\wedge\mathcal{R}^B\Omega_{AB}$ [8], where Ω_{AB} is an ad-invariant metric on the Maxwell algebra $\mathcal{M}$, we need to calculate Ricci curvature as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{ij}dx^i\wedge dx^j=\mathcal{R}^A X_A=\mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\ \ A}X_A dx^i\wedge dx^j, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{ij}=\partial_{[i}h_{j]}+[h_i,h_j]=\mathcal{R}_{ij}^{\ \ A}X_A=T_{ij}{}^a\ P_a+R_{ij}{}^a\ J_a+F_{ij}{}^a\ Z_a\ , \tag{9}$$

such that for the torsion $T_{ij}{}^a$, standard Riemannian curvature $R_{ij}{}^a$ and the new field strength $F_{ij}{}^a$ we have:

$$T_{ij}{}^c=\partial_{[i}e_{j]}^{\ c}+\epsilon_{ab}{}^c(e_i{}^a\omega_j{}^b+\omega_i{}^a e_j{}^b),$$

$$R_{ij}{}^c=\partial_{[i}\omega_{j]}^{\ c}+\epsilon_{ab}{}^c\omega_i{}^a\omega_j{}^b, \tag{10}$$

$$F_{ij}{}^c=\partial_{[i}A_{j]}^{\ c}+\epsilon_{ab}{}^c(\Lambda e_i{}^a e_j{}^b+\omega_i{}^a A_j{}^b+A_i{}^a\omega_j{}^b).$$

Furthermore, using the relation $f_{AB}{}^C\ \Omega_{CD}+f_{AD}{}^C\ \Omega_{CB}=0$ [5] [12] the ad-invariant metric $\Omega_{AB}=\langle X_A,X_B\rangle$ of the Maxwell algebra can be obtained as follows:

$$\langle J_a,J_b\rangle\ =\ \alpha\,\eta_{ab},\qquad \langle J_a,P_b\rangle\ =\ \beta\,\eta_{ab},\qquad \langle J_a,Z_b\rangle\ =\ \gamma\,\eta_{ab},$$

[5] $f_{AB}{}^C$ is the structure constant of the Maxwell Lie algebra $\mathcal{M}$ (2).

$$\langle P_a, P_b\rangle \;=\; \Lambda\;\gamma\;\eta_{ab}, \qquad \langle P_a, Z_b\rangle \;=\; \langle Z_a, Z_b\rangle \;=\; 0, \tag{11}$$

where η_{ab} is the three dimensional Minkowski metric and α, β and γ are real constant parameters (with $\gamma \neq 0$ such that $det\Omega_{AB} = -\Lambda^3\gamma^9$). Note that for $\Lambda = 0$ this metric is degenerate; hence for the Poincaré algebra one must use the standard ad-invariant metric. Using this metric, one can construct the following quadratic Casimir operator

$$W = X_A\;\Omega^{AB}X_B = \frac{2}{\gamma}J^aZ_a + \frac{1}{\Lambda\gamma}P^aP_a - \frac{2\beta}{\Lambda\gamma^2}P^aZ_a + \frac{(\beta^2-\alpha\Lambda\gamma)}{\Lambda\gamma^3}Z^aZ_a,$$

where Ω^{AB} is inverse of the ad-invariant metric. Now, in this way one can construct the topological and gauge invariant action in the following form:

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_Y \mathcal{R}^A\wedge\mathcal{R}^B\;\Omega_{AB} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_Y d^4x\;\epsilon^{ijkl}\langle\mathcal{R}_{ij}\;,\;\mathcal{R}_{kl}\rangle \tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_Y d^4x\;\epsilon^{ijkl}\;(\Lambda\gamma\;T_{ij}{}^c\;T_{klc} + \alpha\;R_{ij}{}^c\;R_{klc} + 2\gamma\;R_{ij}{}^c\;F_{klc} + 2\beta\;T_{ij}{}^cR_{klc}), \tag{13}$$

where Y is a four dimensional manifold with boundary $M = \partial Y$. Now using (10) and integration by part one can rewrite this action as the following one:

$$I = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_M d^3x\;\epsilon^{ijk}\;\Big[2\beta\;e_{ic}\;D_j\omega_k{}^c + \alpha\;\omega_{ic}\;(\partial_j\;\omega_k{}^c - \partial_k\;\omega_j{}^c + \frac{2}{3}\;\epsilon^{abc}\;\omega_{ja}\;\omega_{kb})$$
$$+\Lambda\gamma\;e_{ic}\;D_je_k{}^c + 2\gamma\;\omega_{ic}\;(\partial_j\;A_k{}^c - \partial_k\;A_j{}^c + \epsilon^{abc}\;\omega_{ja}\;A_{kb})\Big], \tag{14}$$

where

$$D_je_k{}^a = \partial_{[j}e_{k]}{}^a + \epsilon_{bc}{}^a(e_j{}^b\omega_k{}^c + \omega_j{}^be_k{}^c), \tag{15}$$

$$D_j\omega_k{}^a = \partial_{[j}\omega_{k]}{}^a + \epsilon_{bc}{}^a\omega_j{}^b\omega_k{}^c. \tag{16}$$

Note that this action is the Chern-Simons action; i.e. by use of the following Chern-Simons action:

$$I_{cs} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_M\Big(\langle h\wedge dh\rangle + \frac{1}{3}\;\langle h\wedge[h\wedge h]\rangle\Big), \tag{17}$$

and using (3) and (11) one can obtain (14); in this way the action (14) is an exactly soluble model. We see that the first term of the action (14) is the pure gravity (Einstein-Hilbert action) [8] and the second term together with the third one (with $\gamma = \alpha$) are the Chern-Simons action for the gauge group $SO(2,2)$ or $SO(3,1)$ [8]. The fourth term is a new one which represents the coupling of spin connection to the gauge fields $A_i{}^a$. Note that there is no kinetic term for the new Abelian gauge fields $A_i{}^a$; this is because the $\langle Z_a, Z_b\rangle$ element of the ad-invariant metric is zero. Hence, if one adds the kinetic term of the gauge fields $A_i{}^a$ to the action (14), then it is not a gauge invariant model.
Now, in the following, we consider the model (14) or (17) as a gauge invariant model (invariant under transformations (7)) over three dimensional spacetime (with boundary) M and try to obtain the equations of motion and solve them. The equations of motion for the action (14) can be obtained as follows;
the equations of motion for the fields e_{ia} have the following form:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}(\Lambda\gamma\;D_je_k{}^a + \beta\;D_j\omega_k{}^a) = 0, \tag{18}$$

the equations of motion with respect to ω_{ia} are as follows:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}[\alpha\;D_j\omega_k{}^a + \gamma\;(D_jA_k{}^a + \Lambda\epsilon^{abc}e_{jb}e_{kc}) + \beta\;D_je_k{}^a] = 0, \tag{19}$$

where

$$D_jA_k{}^a = \partial_{[j}A_{k]}{}^a + \epsilon_{bc}{}^a(A_j{}^b\omega_k{}^c + \omega_j{}^bA_k{}^c), \tag{20}$$

and finally the equations of motion with respect to A_{ia} have the following form:

$$\epsilon^{ijk} D_j \omega_k{}^a = 0, \tag{21}$$

such that using (10) one can rewrite the above equations as follows:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\ T_{jk}{}^a = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\ R_{jk}{}^a = 0, \tag{23}$$

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\ F_{jk}{}^a = 0. \tag{24}$$

We see that like $SO(2,2)$ and $SO(3,1)$ Chern-Simons actions in [8], the equations of motion of the action (14) can be rewritten as a zero's of the field strengths. Now, in the following we will try to obtain different solutions for these equations.

2.1 Solutions of the equations of motion for the Chern-Simons action with Maxwell gauge group

Here, we apply two ansatzes to obtain the solutions of the equations (22)-(24); i.e. flat and BTZ type solutions.

2.1.1 Flat solution

We use the following ansatz for the metric in the equations (22)-(24):

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{N^2(r)}dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2, \tag{25}$$

where $\{x^0, x^1, x^2\} = \{t, r, \phi\}$ are the coordinates of the spacetime. After some calculations one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&N(r) = C_3,\\
&\omega^0(r) = -C_3\ d\varphi, \quad \omega^1(r) = 0, \quad \omega^2(r) = 0,\\
&A^0(r) = C_2\ dt + f(r)\ dr + \Big(-\frac{\Lambda r^2}{2C_3} + C_1\Big)\ d\varphi,\\
&A^1(r) = \frac{1}{C_3} g'(r)\ dr + h(r)\ d\varphi,\\
&A^2(r) = -\Lambda r\ dt - \frac{1}{C_3} h'(r)\ dr + g(r)\ d\varphi,
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where C_1, C_2 and C_3 are real constants and $f(r)$, $g(r)$ and $h(r)$ are arbitrary functions of r and prime shows the derivative with respect to r.

2.1.2 BTZ-type solution

Here, we use the following BTZ-type ansatz [9] for the metric in the equations (22)-(24):

$$ds^2 = -N^2(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{N^2(r)}dr^2 + r^2(N^\phi(r)\ dt + d\phi)^2, \tag{27}$$

after some calculations one can obtain the following solution for these equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&N^2(r) = -\frac{D_3}{r^2} - \frac{M}{2}, \qquad N^\phi(r) = \frac{\sqrt{-D_3}}{r^2},\\
&\omega^0(r) = N(r)\ d\varphi, \qquad \omega^1(r) = rN^\phi(r)\ d\varphi, \qquad \omega^2(r) = -\frac{N^\phi(r)}{N(r)}\ dr,\\
&A^0 = \frac{D_2}{\sqrt{-D_3}} N(r)\ dt + h(r)\ dr + \frac{q(r)}{N(r)}\ d\varphi,
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$A^1=\Big(\Lambda r+\frac{D_2}{r}\Big)\ dt+\Big(\frac{rN^{\phi}(r)h(r)-g'(r)}{N(r)}\Big)\ dr+f(r)\ d\varphi,$$

$$A^2=\Big(\frac{f'(r)}{N(r)}+\frac{N^{\phi}(r)}{N^3(r)}\ q(r)\Big)\ dr+g(r)\ d\varphi,$$

where

$$q(r)=\frac{\Lambda}{2}r^2+rN^{\phi}(r)f(r)+D_1,$$

D_1, D_2, D_3 and M are real constants and $f(r)$, $g(r)$ and $h(r)$ are arbitrary functions of r. In this way, we have obtained the BTZ type solution [9] such that it is coupled to the gauge field matter ((14) and (28)).
To determine the constants of the solutions, we use the energy-momentum tensor at the boundary. The quasilocal stress tensor defined locally on the boundary of a given spacetime region is as follows: [13], [14]

$$T^{ij}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\frac{\delta I}{\delta\gamma_{ij}}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\frac{\delta I}{\delta e_{\ell}^{\ d}}\frac{\delta e_{\ell}^{\ d}}{\delta\gamma_{ij}},\tag{29}$$

where γ_{ij} is the boundary metric. The boundary ∂M_r of our spacetime M is a cylindrical shell at fixed r. Varying the action produces a bulk term which is zero using the equations of motion, plus a boundary term as:

$$\delta I=-\frac{1}{4\pi}\ \delta\int_{\partial M_r}d^2x\ \epsilon^{ij}\Big[\alpha\ \omega_{ic}\omega_j^{\ c}+2\beta\ e_{ic}\omega_j^{\ c}+2\gamma\ \omega_{ic}A_j^{\ c}+\Lambda\gamma\ e_{ic}e_j^{\ c}\Big]+\int_{\partial M_r}d^2x\ \frac{\delta I_{ct}}{\delta\gamma_{ij}}\delta\gamma_{ij},\tag{30}$$

where $\epsilon^{20}=+1$ and I_{ct} is the counterterm action which is added in order to obtain a finite stress tensor at $r\to\infty$ [14]. Then, we get the quasilocal stress tensor for this model as:

$$T^{ij}=-\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\epsilon^{in}\omega_n^{\ c}\gamma^{jk}e_{kc},\tag{31}$$

where $\sqrt{-\gamma}=rN(r)$ and γ^{jk} is the inverse boundary metric. For this model, we have $I_{ct}=0$ such that using the above solution, the components of quasilocal stress tensor are obtained as follows:

$$T^{00}=\frac{\beta}{2\pi rN(r)},\qquad\qquad T^{02}=T^{20}=T^{22}=0.\tag{32}$$

The mass and angular momentum which are the conserved charges associated with time translation and rotation respectively, have been defined in [14] as:

$$m=\int_0^{2\pi}d\varphi\ rN(r)u^iu^jT_{ij},$$

$$P_{\varphi}=\int_0^{2\pi}d\varphi\ r^3\gamma_{ij}u^iT^{2j},\tag{33}$$

where $u^i=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-N^2(r)+r^2(N^{\phi}(r))^2}}\ \delta^{i,0}$ is the timelike unit normal to spacelike surface Σ in $\partial\mathcal{M}$. After some calculations we find that the mass and angular momentum have the following forms:

$$m=\frac{\beta}{2}M,\qquad P_{\varphi}=0.\tag{34}$$

The above metric has a singularity at $r=\sqrt{\frac{-2D_3}{M}}$. This singularity is not a curvature singularity, but a coordinate one associated with horizon in the Schwarzschild-type spacetime, and as is well known, there is other coordinate system for which this type of singularity is removed. It describes a non-rotating ($P_{\varphi}=0$) black hole in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime with mass M.

3 2+1 dimensional gravity from semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge Algebra and Chern-Simons action

Here, we try to perform calculations similar to the section two; for the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebra. This algebra in $D = 2 + 1$ dimensional spacetime can be obtained from Maxwell's one by deforming the commutator of the generator Z_a in (2) as follows:

$$[J_a, J_b] = \epsilon_{abc} J^c, \qquad [J_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c, \qquad [P_a, P_b] = k\epsilon_{abc} Z^c,$$

$$[J_a, Z_b] = \epsilon_{abc} Z^c, \qquad [P_a, Z_b] = -\frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon_{abc} P^c, \qquad [Z_a, Z_b] = -\frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon_{abc} Z^c, \tag{35}$$

where k is a constant. The commutator of $[P_a, Z_b]$ can be obtained from Jacobi identities. Note that this algebra is a semi-simple one. For the Chern-Simons model, the gauge field can be written similarly as (3) and (4). The gauge transformations (7) are deformed as follows:

$$\delta e_i{}^a = -\partial_i \rho^a - \epsilon^{abc} e_{ib}\ \tau_c - \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ib}\ \rho_c + \frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon^{abc} e_{ib}\ \lambda_c + \frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon^{abc} A_{ib}\ \rho_c,$$

$$\delta \omega_i{}^a = -\partial_i \tau^a - \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ib}\ \tau_c, \tag{36}$$

$$\delta A_i{}^a = -\partial_i \lambda^a - k\epsilon^{abc} e_{ib}\ \rho_c - \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ib}\ \lambda_c - \epsilon^{abc} A_{ib}\ \tau_c + \frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon^{abc} A_{ib}\ \lambda_c.$$

Furthermore, one can obtain the field strengths in the same way of section two as follows:

$$T_{ij}{}^c = \partial_{[i} e_{j]}^c + \epsilon_{ab}{}^c (e_i{}^a \omega_j{}^b + \omega_i{}^a e_j{}^b) - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon_{ab}{}^c (e_i^a\ A_j^b + A_i^a\ e_j^b),$$

$$R_{ij}{}^c = \partial_{[i}\omega_{j]}^c + \epsilon_{ab}{}^c\ \omega_i{}^a \omega_j{}^b, \tag{37}$$

$$F_{ij}{}^c = \partial_{[i} A_{j]}^c + \epsilon_{ab}{}^c (k\ e_i{}^a e_j{}^b + \omega_i{}^a A_j{}^b + A_i{}^a \omega_j{}^b) - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\epsilon_{ab}{}^c A_i^a\ A_j^b.$$

The ad-invariant metric can also be obtained as follows:

$$\langle J_a, J_b\rangle\ =\ a\ \eta_{ab} \quad , \quad \langle J_a, P_b\rangle\ =\ b\ \eta_{ab} \quad , \quad \langle J_a, Z_b\rangle\ =\ d\ \eta_{ab}$$

$$\langle P_a, P_b\rangle\ =\ kd\ \eta_{ab} \quad , \quad \langle P_a, Z_b\rangle\ =\ -\frac{\Lambda}{k} b\ \eta_{ab} \quad , \quad \langle Z_a, Z_b\rangle\ =\ -\frac{\Lambda}{k} d\ \eta_{ab}, \tag{38}$$

where a, b and d are arbitrary real constants. As we expect, for the limiting case $\Lambda \to 0$, this metric reduces to (11) with $a = \alpha$, $b = \beta$, $d = \gamma$. The quadratic Casimir operator for this algebra is

$$W = X_A\ \Omega^{AB} X_B = \frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda}{k}a + d}\Big(\frac{\Lambda}{k} J^a J_a + 2 J^a Z_a\Big) + \frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda}{k}b^2 + kd^2}\Big(dP^a P_a - 2bP^a Z_a\Big)$$

$$+ \frac{(b^2 - kda)}{(\frac{\Lambda}{k}a + d)(\frac{\Lambda}{k}b^2 + kd^2)} Z^a Z_a.$$

Now, with this information one can obtain the topological invariant action in terms of the field strengths, as follows:

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_Y \mathcal{R}^A \wedge \mathcal{R}^B\ \Omega_{AB} = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_Y d^4x\ \epsilon^{ijkl}\langle \mathcal{R}_{ij}\ ,\ \mathcal{R}_{kl}\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{16\pi}\int_Y d^4x\ \epsilon^{ijkl}\ (k\,d\ T_{ij}{}^{c}\ T_{kl,c} + 2\ b\ T_{ij}{}^{c}\ R_{kl,c} - 2\ b\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ T_{ij}{}^{c}\ F_{kl,c}$$
$$+a\ R_{ij}{}^{c}\ R_{kl,c} + 2\ d\ R_{ij}{}^{c}\ F_{kl,c} - d\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ F_{ij}{}^{c}\ F_{kl,c}). \tag{39}$$

Then, replacing from (37) and after integration by part; one can obtain the following action:

$$I = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_M d^3x\ \epsilon^{ijk}\Big\{2b\ e_{ic}(D_j\omega_k{}^{c} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\epsilon^{abc}e_{ja}\ e_{kb}) + a\ \omega_{ic}(\partial_j\ \omega_k{}^{c} - \partial_k\ \omega_j{}^{c} + \frac{2}{3}\ \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ja}\ \omega_{kb})$$
$$-2b\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ e_{ic}(D_jA_k{}^{c} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}A_{ja}\ A_{kb}) + 2d\ \omega_{ic}(\partial_j\ A_k{}^{c} - \partial_k\ A_j{}^{c} + \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ja}\ A_{kb})$$
$$+kd\ e_{ic}\ D_je_k{}^{c} - d\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_{ic}(D_jA_k{}^{c} + 2k\ \epsilon^{abc}e_{ja}\ e_{kb} - \frac{2}{3}\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}A_{ja}\ A_{kb})\ \Big\}. \tag{40}$$

Similar to the previous section, this action is the Chern-Simons action (17) with the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge group $(\mathcal{S})$. Here, in addition to the previous terms in (14), the cosmological constant term is explicitly appeared in the lagrangian with the cosmological constant $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{\ell^2}$. Furthermore, there are new terms which represent the interaction of the non-Abelian gauge fields $A_k{}^{a}$ with each other (in the form of Chern-Simons terms for the $A_k{}^{a}$ fields) and spin connections and vierbeins. This action is invariant under the gauge transformations (36). The equations of motion for the action (40) can be obtained as follows.
The equations of motion with respect to e_{ia} have the following form:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[kd\ (D_je_k{}^{a} - 2\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}\ e_{jb}\ A_{kc}) + b\ \Big(D_j\omega_k{}^{a} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}(D_jA_k{}^{a} + k\ \epsilon^{abc}\ e_{jb}\ e_{kc} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}A_{jb}\ A_{kc})\Big)\Big] = 0, \tag{41}$$

furthermore the equations of motion for ω_{ia} are as follows:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[a\ D_j\omega_k{}^{a} + d\ \Big(D_jA_k{}^{a} + k\ \epsilon^{abc}e_{jb}\ e_{kc} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}\ A_{jb}\ A_{kc}\Big) + b\ \Big(D_je_k{}^{a} - 2\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}\ e_{jb}\ A_{kc}\Big)\Big] = 0, \tag{42}$$

and finally the equations of motion with respect to A_{ia} have the form:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[- b\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ (D_je_k{}^{a} - 2\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}\ e_{jb}\ e_{kc}) + d\ \Big(D_j\omega_k{}^{a} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ (D_jA_k{}^{a} + k\ \epsilon^{abc}e_{jb}\ e_{kc} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ \epsilon^{abc}\ A_{jb}\ A_{kc})\Big)\Big] = 0. \tag{43}$$

As in the previous section, one can rewrite these equations in terms of field strengths as follows:

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[kd\ T_{jk}{}^{a} + b\Big(R_{jk}{}^{a} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ F_{jk}{}^{a}\Big)\Big] = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\ \Big(a\ R_{jk}{}^{a} + b\ T_{jk}{}^{a} + d\ F_{jk}{}^{a}\Big) = 0, \tag{45}$$

$$\epsilon^{ijk}\Big[- b\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ T_{jk}{}^{a} + d\Big(R_{jk}{}^{a} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\ F_{jk}{}^{a}\Big)\Big] = 0. \tag{46}$$

3.1 Solutions of the equations of motion

As for the previous section, we apply two type ansatzes for the metric solution of equations (44) - (46).

3.1.1 Ads-type solution

If we use the ansatz (25) for the metric in the equations (44) - (46); then after some calculations we obtain the following solutions:

$$N^2(r) = 1 - \Lambda r^2, \qquad \omega^0 = \zeta(r)\Big(C_2dt + d\varphi + \frac{f(r)}{g(r)}\ dr\Big),$$

$$\omega^1 = -\frac{g'(r)}{\zeta(r)}\ dr, \qquad \omega^2 = g(r)(C_2dt + d\varphi) + f(r)\ dr,$$

$$A^0(r)=\frac{k}{\Lambda}\Big(\zeta(r)C_2dt+\frac{f(r)\zeta(r)}{g(r)}\ dr+(N(r)+\zeta(r))\ d\varphi\Big),\tag{47}$$
$$A^1(r)=-\frac{k}{\Lambda}\frac{g'(r)}{\zeta(r)}\ dr,\qquad A^2(r)=\frac{k}{\Lambda}\Big((-\Lambda r+C_2\ g(r))\ dt+f(r)\ dr+g(r)\ d\varphi\Big),$$

where

$$\zeta(r)=\sqrt{g^2(r)+C_1},$$

C_1 and C_2 are constants; and the $f(r)$ and $g(r)\neq 0$ are arbitrary functions of r. As in the previous section, varying this model gives the boundary term as follows:

$$\delta I=-\frac{1}{4\pi}\ \delta\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_r}d^2x\ \epsilon^{ij}\Big[a\ \omega_{ic}\omega_j{}^c+2b\ e_{ic}\Big(\omega_j{}^c-\frac{\Lambda}{k}A_j{}^c\Big)+2d\ \omega_{ic}A_j{}^c-d\ \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_{ic}A_j{}^c+\Lambda d\ e_{ic}e_j{}^c\Big]$$
$$+\int_{\partial\mathcal{M}_r}d^2x\ \frac{\delta I_{ct}}{\delta\gamma_{ij}}\delta\gamma_{ij},\tag{48}$$

such that for above solution of this model we have $I_{ct}=-\frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi}\ \sqrt{-\gamma}$. Then, after some calculations we get the regularized quasilocal stress tensor for this model as:

$$T^{ij}=-\frac{b}{2\pi\sqrt{-\gamma}}\epsilon^{in}\Big(\omega_n{}^c-\frac{\Lambda}{k}A_n{}^c\Big)\gamma^{jk}e_{kc}-\frac{b}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ \gamma^{ij},\tag{49}$$

where $\sqrt{-\gamma}=rN(r)$. Now, using above solution, we obtain the components of quasilocal stress tensor as follows:

$$T^{00}=-\frac{b}{2\pi rN(r)}+\frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi N^2(r)},\qquad T^{02}=T^{20}=0,\qquad T^{22}=-\frac{b\Lambda}{2\pi rN(r)}-\frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi r^2}.\tag{50}$$

3.1.2 BTZ-type solution

For the BTZ type ansatz (27), after some calculation we obtain the following solution:

$$N^2(r)=-M-\Lambda r^2+\frac{J^2}{4r^2},\qquad N^\phi(r)=-\frac{J}{2r^2},$$
$$\omega^0(r)=\xi(r)(D_2dt+d\varphi)+\rho(r)\ dr,$$
$$\omega^1(r)=g(r)(D_2dt+d\varphi)+f(r)\ dr,$$
$$\omega^2(r)=h(r)(D_2dt+d\varphi)+\sigma(r)\ dr,$$
$$A^0(r)=\frac{k}{\Lambda}\Big(D_2\xi(r)\ dt+\rho(r)\ dr+\Big(\xi(r)-N(r)\ \Big)\ d\varphi\Big),\tag{51}$$
$$A^1(r)=\frac{k}{\Lambda}\Big(\Big(\Lambda r+D_2\ g(r)\Big)\ dt+f(r)\ dr+\Big(g(r)-rN^\phi(r)\Big)\ d\varphi\Big),$$
$$A^2(r)=\frac{k}{\Lambda}\Big(h(r)(D_2dt+d\varphi)+\Big(\sigma(r)+\frac{N^\phi(r)}{N(r)}\Big)\ dr\Big),$$

where

$$\xi(r)=\sqrt{g^2(r)+h^2(r)+D_1},\qquad \rho(r)=\frac{h'(r)+f(r)\xi(r)}{g(r)},$$
$$\sigma(r)=\frac{g(r)g'(r)+h(r)h'(r)}{g(r)\xi(r)}+\frac{f(r)\ h(r)}{g(r)},$$

D_1, D_2, J and M are constants; and the $f(r)$, $g(r)$ and $h(r)$ are arbitrary functions of r. Similar to (49) the regularized quasilocal stress tensor for the above solution of this model has the following form:

$$T^{ij}=-\frac{b}{2\pi\sqrt{-\gamma}}\epsilon^{in}\Big(\omega_n{}^c-\frac{\Lambda}{k}A_n{}^c\Big)\gamma^{jk}e_{kc}+\frac{b}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\gamma^{ij},\tag{52}$$

where the counter term is $I_{ct} = \frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\gamma}$. Now, using the above solution we obtain the components of quasilocal stress tensor as follows:

$$T^{00} = \frac{b}{2\pi r N(r)} - \frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi N^2(r)}, \qquad T^{02} = T^{20} = \frac{b}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\frac{N^{\phi}(r)}{N^2(r)},$$

$$T^{22} = \frac{b\Lambda}{2\pi r N(r)} + \frac{b}{2\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\Big(\frac{N^2(r) - r^2(N^{\phi}(r))^2}{r^2 N^2(r)}\Big). \tag{53}$$

Then, the conserved charges, namely mass and angular momentum are determined as follows:

$$m = \frac{b}{2}M, \qquad P_{\varphi} = \frac{b}{2}\, J. \tag{54}$$

This metric has two singularities at

$$r_{\pm} = \sqrt{\frac{-M}{2\Lambda}\Big(1 \mp \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda J^2}{M^2}}\Big)}. \tag{55}$$

where r_+ and r_- are called event horizon and inner horizon, respectively. These singularities are the coordinate singularities for which the Kretschmann scalar is $K = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 12\Lambda^2$. They describe a rotating ($J \neq 0$) BTZ-like black hole in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime with mass M and angular momentum J which interact with non-abelian gauge fields $A_i{}^a$.

4 Ads/CFT correspondence for Chern-Simons action with semi-simple extension of Poincaré gauge group

In this section, similar to [10] and [11] we investigate the Ads/CFT correspondence at the boundary for the Chern-Simons action with semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge group. Let us define the new generators for the algebra of this group as follows:

$$W_a^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(-\frac{k}{\Lambda}Z_a \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}P_a\Big), \qquad \overline{W}_a = J_a + \frac{k}{\Lambda}Z_a, \tag{56}$$

such that the commutation relations for this Lie algebra by use of (35) have the following form:

$$[W_a^{\pm}, W_b^{\pm}] = \epsilon_{abc}W^{\pm c}, \qquad [\overline{W}_a, \overline{W}_b] = \epsilon_{abc}\overline{W}^c, \qquad [W_a^{+}, W_b^{-}] = 0, \qquad [W_a^{\pm}, \overline{W}_b] = 0. \tag{57}$$

In this sence, we see that the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebra $\mathcal{S}$, is isomorphic to the direct sum of three $so(2,1)$ Lie algebras i.e. $\mathcal{S} \equiv so(2,1) \oplus so(2,1) \oplus so(2,1)$. Therefore, the gauge fields with these new generators have the following forms:

$$h_i = h_i^{+a}\, W_a^{+} + h_i^{-a}\, W_a^{-} + \overline{h}_i{}^a\, \overline{W}_a, \tag{58}$$

where

$$h_i^{\pm a} = \omega_i{}^a \pm \sqrt{-\Lambda}e_i{}^a - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_i{}^a, \qquad \overline{h}_i{}^a = \omega_i{}^a. \tag{59}$$

By choosing $x^{\pm} = \sqrt{-\Lambda}\, t \pm \varphi = \frac{t}{\ell} \pm \varphi$ and $C_2 = \sqrt{-\Lambda}$, the Ads solution (47) can be rewritten as:

$$h^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\eta^{\pm}(r)dr & -y^{\pm}(r)dx^{\mp} \\ -y^{\mp}(r)dx^{\mp} & \eta^{\pm}(r)dr \end{pmatrix}, \tag{60}$$

$$\overline{h} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{g'(r)}{\zeta(r)}dr & s^{+}(r)\Big(dx^{+} + \frac{f(r)}{g(r)}dr\Big) \\ s^{-}(r)\Big(dx^{+} + \frac{f(r)}{g(r)}dr\Big) & \frac{g'(r)}{\zeta(r)}dr \end{pmatrix}, \tag{61}$$

where

$$\eta^{\pm}(r) = N^{-1}(r) + (-1 \pm \sqrt{-\Lambda})\frac{g'(r)}{\zeta(r)},$$
$$y^{\pm}(r) = \sqrt{-\Lambda}\; r \pm N(r),$$
$$s^{\pm}(r) = g(r) \pm \zeta(r).$$

From (60) and (61) we see that $h_+^+ = h_-^- = \overline{h}_- = 0$, then we have

$$h_0^+ = -\sqrt{-\Lambda}\; h_2^+, \qquad h_0^- = \sqrt{-\Lambda}\; h_2^-, \qquad \overline{h}_0 = \sqrt{-\Lambda}\; \overline{h}_2. \tag{62}$$

In this case, the $2+1$ dimensional gravity model with semi-simple extension of Poincaré gauge Algebra (40) can be written as the sum of three Chern-Simons actions

$$I = K^+ I_{cs}(h_i^+) + K^- I_{cs}(h_i^-) + \overline{K}\; I_{cs}(\overline{h}_i),$$

$$K^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(- \frac{k}{\Lambda} d \pm \frac{b}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}\Big), \qquad \overline{K} = \Big(a + \frac{k}{\Lambda} d\Big), \tag{63}$$

where $K^{\pm}$ and $\overline{K}$ are levels of the Chern-Simons actions, and actions $I_{cs}(h_i^{\pm})$ and $I_{cs}(\overline{h}_i)$ up to a surface term can be written as:

$$I_{cs}(h_i^{\pm}) = I^{\pm} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x \Big[h_2^{\pm a}\partial_0 h_{1a}^{\pm} - h_1^{\pm a}\partial_0 h_{2a}^{\pm} + 2h_0^{\pm c} F_{12a}^{\pm}\Big], \tag{64}$$

$$I_{cs}(\overline{h}_i) = \overline{I} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x \Big[\overline{h}_2{}^a \partial_0 \overline{h}_{1a} - \overline{h}_1{}^a \partial_0 \overline{h}_{2a} + 2\overline{h}_0{}^c \overline{F}_{12a}\Big], \tag{65}$$

such that the standard curvatures are

$$F_{12a}^{\pm} = \partial_1 h_{2a}^{\pm} - \partial_2 h_{1a}^{\pm} + \epsilon_{abc} h_1^{\pm b} h_2^{\pm c}, \tag{66}$$

$$\overline{F}_{12a} = \partial_1 \overline{h}_{2a} - \partial_2 \overline{h}_{1a} + \epsilon_{abc} \overline{h}_1^b \overline{h}_2^c. \tag{67}$$

The variations of each of these Chern-Simons actions at the boundary ($r \to \infty$) is not zero, even when equations of motion hold, because of $\int d^3x\; Tr(h_0 \partial_1 h_2)$ term. This term along with conditions $h_+^+ = h_-^- = \overline{h}_- = 0$, at the boundary yields:

$$\int d^3x\; Tr(h_0^A \partial_1 h_2^A) = \frac{(-1)^{\delta_{+,A}}}{2}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\int_{\Sigma} dt d\varphi\; Tr\Big[(h_2^A)^2\Big], \tag{68}$$

where $h_i^A = \{h_i^{\pm a} W_a^{\pm}, \overline{h}_i{}^a \overline{W}_a\}$ and Σ demonstrates the two dimensional boundary. Then, the variations of the model on the boundary is given by

$$\delta\Big[\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\; Tr\Big(- K^+ (h_2^+)^2 + K^- (h_2^-)^2 + \overline{K}(\overline{h}_2)^2\Big)\Big], \tag{69}$$

and in order to have $\delta I = 0$, one must add this surface term with minus sign to the action. Therefore, we have the following improved model:

$$I = K^+ I_{cs}(h_i^+) + K^- I_{cs}(h_i^-) + \overline{K}\; I_{cs}(\overline{h}_i) - \frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\;\int_{\Sigma} dt d\varphi\; Tr\Big[- K^+ (h_2^+)^2 + K^- (h_2^-)^2 + \overline{K}(\overline{h}_2)^2\Big], \tag{70}$$

such that according to (64) and (65) the gauge fields $h_0^{\pm}$ and $\overline{h}_0$ have the role of Lagrange multipliers, and variations of the model with respect to these gauge fields yield the following constraints:

$$F_{12}^{\pm} = \overline{F}_{12} = 0. \tag{71}$$

One solution for these constraints is $h_i^{\pm}=\overline{h}_i=0$, then their gauge transformations ($h\to g^{-1}dg+g^{-1}hg$) are also a solution for the above constraints, and we have

$$h_i^{+}=G_1^{-1}\partial_i G_1,\qquad h_i^{-}=G_2^{-1}\partial_i G_2,\qquad \overline{h}_i=G_3^{-1}\partial_i G_3, \tag{72}$$

where G_1, G_2 and G_3 must have the following forms, such that the radial components of the gauge fields $h_1^{\pm}$ and $\overline{h}_1$ coincide with that of (60) and (61) for the selection $f(r)=0$; i.e.

$$G_1(t,r,\varphi)=g_1(t,\varphi)\begin{pmatrix} U_1(r) & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{U_1(r)}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{73}$$

$$G_2(t,r,\varphi)=g_2(t,\varphi)\begin{pmatrix} U_2(r) & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{U_2(r)}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{74}$$

$$G_3(t,r,\varphi)=g_3(t,\varphi)\begin{pmatrix} U_3(r) & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{U_3(r)}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{75}$$

where $g_1(t,\varphi)$, $g_2(t,\varphi)$ and $g_3(t,\varphi)$ are arbitrary elements of the Lie group $SO(2,1)$ and functions $U_1(r)$, $U_2(r)$ and $U_3(r)$ have the following forms

$$U_1(r)=\Big(y^{+}(r)\Big)^{\frac{-1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}}\ \Big(\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ s^{+}(r)\Big)^{(1-\sqrt{-\Lambda})}, \tag{76}$$

$$U_2(r)=\Big(y^{+}(r)\Big)^{\frac{-1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}}\ \Big(\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ s^{+}(r)\Big)^{(1+\sqrt{-\Lambda})}, \tag{77}$$

$$U_3(r)=\Big(\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ s^{+}(r)\Big)^{-1}. \tag{78}$$

Using the above values for G_1, G_2 and G_3, one can write the surface term in (70) as:

$$-\frac{1}{4\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ \int_{\Sigma} dtd\varphi\ Tr\Big[-K^{+}\Big(g_1^{^{-1}}\partial_2\ g_1\Big)^2+K^{-}\Big(g_2^{^{-1}}\partial_2\ g_2\Big)^2+\overline{K}\Big(g_3^{^{-1}}\partial_2\ g_3\Big)^2\Big], \tag{79}$$

then the model (70) can be rewritten as:

$$I=K^{+}S^{L}_{WZW}[g_1]+K^{-}S^{R}_{WZW}[g_2]+\overline{K}\ S^{R}_{WZW}[g_3], \tag{80}$$

where $S^{L}_{WZW}[g_1]$, $S^{R}_{WZW}[g_2]$ and $S^{R}_{WZW}[g_3]$ are chiral WZW actions over $SO(2,1)$ such that they describe a left-moving group element $g_1(x^-)$ and two right-moving group elements $g_2(x^+)$ and $g_3(x^+)$ respectively. Using the light cone coordinates $\partial_{\pm}=\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}\partial_0\pm\partial_2)$ and $\partial_+ g_1=\partial_- g_2=\partial_- g_3=0$, we have

$$S^{L}_{WZW}[g_1]=-\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\Sigma} dtd\varphi\ Tr\Big[\dot{g}_1 g_1'-\sqrt{-\Lambda}(g_1')^2\Big]+\Gamma[g_1], \tag{81}$$

$$S^{R}_{WZW}[g_2]=-\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\Sigma} dtd\varphi\ Tr\Big[\dot{g}_2 g_2'+\sqrt{-\Lambda}(g_2')^2\Big]+\Gamma[g_2], \tag{82}$$

$$S^{R}_{WZW}[g_3]=-\frac{1}{8\pi}\ \int_{\Sigma} dtd\varphi\ Tr\Big[\dot{g}_3 g_3'+\sqrt{-\Lambda}(g_3')^2\Big]+\Gamma[g_3], \tag{83}$$

where $\dot{g}_i=g_i^{-1}\partial_0\ g_i$, $g_i'=g_i^{-1}\partial_2\ g_i, (i=1,2,3)$ and the $\Gamma[g]$'s are the usual WZ term of the WZW action, which using relations $\partial_0 h_1^{\pm}=\partial_0\overline{h}_1=0$ and $F_{12}^{\pm}=\overline{F}_{12}=0$ can be written as:

$$\Gamma[g_1]=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x\ Tr\Big[G_1^{-1}\partial_1 G_1.G_1^{-1}\partial_0 G_1.G_1^{-1}\partial_2 G_1\Big], \tag{84}$$

$$\Gamma[g_2]=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x\ Tr\Big[G_2^{-1}\partial_1 G_2.G_2^{-1}\partial_0 G_2.G_2^{-1}\partial_2 G_2\Big], \tag{85}$$

$$\Gamma[g_3]=\frac{1}{4\pi}\int d^3x\ Tr\Big[G_3^{-1}\partial_1 G_3.G_3^{-1}\partial_0 G_3.G_3^{-1}\partial_2 G_3\Big]. \tag{86}$$

In this way, we prove that the $2+1$ dimensional gravity as Chern-Simons action with gauge group S is equivalent to sum of three Chern-Simons actions with gauge group $SO(2,1)$ such that the model at the boundary is a CFT which is the sum of three chiral WZW models over the group $SO(2,1)$. Of course, these results are also expected because there exist a decomposition of the algebra $\mathcal{S}$ in terms of three $so(2,1)$ algebras (57).

4.1 Central charge of the CFT at boundary

In order to calculate the central charge c of the CFT at the boundary we use the following formula [15], [16]:

$$Tr(T^{ij}) = -\frac{c}{24\pi}\mathcal{R}, \tag{87}$$

where T^{ij} and $\mathcal{R}$ are the regularized stress energy tensor and scalar curvature of the boundary surface. In the previous section, we have calculated T^{ij} for the Ads-type solution (49). On the other hand, for calculating $\mathcal{R}$ we use the extrinsic curvature θ_{ij} of the boundary metric γ_{ij}

$$\theta_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g_{rr}}}\partial_r\gamma_{ij}. \tag{88}$$

Now, by use of the Fefferman-Graham expansion of boundary metric [17]

$$\gamma_{ij} = r^2\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + \gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + O(\frac{1}{r^2}), \qquad \gamma^{(0)} = diag(\Lambda, 1), \tag{89}$$

we have

$$\theta_{ij} = -rN(r)\gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + \cdots . \tag{90}$$

Using the inverse of boundary metric (89) in the following form

$$\gamma^{ij} = \frac{1}{r^2}(\gamma^{(0)})^{ij} - \frac{1}{r^4}(\gamma^{(2)})^{ij} + \cdots , \tag{91}$$

we obtain the trace of extrinsic curvature as:

$$\theta = \gamma^{ij}\theta_{ij} = -\frac{2N(r)}{r} + \frac{N(r)}{r^3}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots . \tag{92}$$

Then, using the following identity [18]

$$G_{ij}n^in^j = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{R} + \theta_{ij}\theta^{ij} - \theta^2), \tag{93}$$

where G_{ij} is the Einstein tensor, and n^i is the unit outward pointing normal vector to the boundary ∂M_r, for the geometry (25) and (47) and $n^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{rr}}}\delta^{i,r}$ we have

$$G_{ij}n^in^j = \frac{N^2(r)}{r^2} + \cdots , \tag{94}$$

such that we obtain the scalar curvature of boundary at infinity ($r \to \infty$) as follows:

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{2\Lambda}{r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots . \tag{95}$$

Furthermore, for (89) we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-det(r^2\gamma_{ij}^{(0)})}\left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ r^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots\right), \tag{96}$$

and the non-zero components of the quasilocal stress tensor (50) turn out to be

$$\begin{aligned} T^{00} &= -\frac{b}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ r^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots\right) - \frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi}\ \gamma^{00}, \\ T^{22} &= -\frac{b\Lambda}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}\ r^2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{2r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma_{ij}^{(2)} + \cdots\right) - \frac{b\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi}\ \gamma^{22}, \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

such that at boundary ($r \to \infty$) we have

$$Tr(T^{ij}) = \gamma_{ij}T^{ij} = \gamma_{00}T^{00} + \gamma_{22}T^{22}$$
$$= -\frac{b}{2\pi}(\frac{2\Lambda r^2 + \cdots}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}\, r^2})\, \Big(1 - \frac{1}{2r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma^{(2)}_{ij} + \cdots\Big) - \frac{b}{\pi}\sqrt{-\Lambda}\,, \tag{98}$$

where we have used $\gamma_{00} = \Lambda r^2 + \cdots$ and $\gamma_{22} = r^2 + \cdots$. Finally, we obtain the trace of the Ads stress tensor as follows:

$$Tr(T^{ij}) = -\frac{b}{2\pi}\frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{r^2}\gamma^{(0)^{ij}}\gamma^{(2)}_{ij} + \cdots\,, \tag{99}$$

Now putting (95) and (99) in (87) one can obtain the central charge as

$$c = \frac{6b}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}. \tag{100}$$

On the other hand, the action (40) can be rewritten as:

$$I = \frac{(K^+ - K^-)}{2}\,(I^+ - I^-) + \frac{(K^+ + K^-)}{2}\,(I^+ + I^-) + \overline{K}\,\overline{I}, \tag{101}$$

where

$$I^+ - I^- = \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2\pi}\int_M d^3x\,\epsilon^{ijk}\,\Big\{e_{ic}\,\Big(\partial_j\omega_k{}^c - \partial_k\omega_j{}^c + \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ja}\,\omega_{kb}\Big) - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\,\epsilon^{abc}\,e_{ic}\,e_{ja}\,e_{kb}$$
$$- \frac{\Lambda}{k}\,e_{ic}\Big(\partial_j\,A_k{}^c - \partial_k\,A_j{}^c + 2\,\epsilon^{abc}\,\omega_{ja}\,A_{kb} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}\,\epsilon^{abc}A_{ja}\,A_{kb}\Big)\Big\}, \tag{102}$$

is nothing but Hilbert-Einstein action coupled to the gauge fields. Hence, for it's coefficient we must have

$$\frac{(K^+ - K^-)}{2} = \frac{1}{8G\sqrt{-\Lambda}}, \tag{103}$$

then from (63) we obtain

$$b = \frac{1}{4G}, \tag{104}$$

such that we find the central charge (100) of the model as

$$c = \frac{3\ell}{2G}, \tag{105}$$

which is the central charge related to the Hilbert-Einstein action from Chern-Simons theory with gauge group $SO(2,2)$ [8], [19]. The reason for this coincidence is that the energy stress tensors for the $(I^+ + I^-)$ and $\overline{I}$ parts in (101) are zero. Now, one may have a question that: what is the contribution of the gauge fields in our model and in the calculation of the central charge? The answer is that although the energy stress tensor of the Chern-Simons model with gauge group $SO(2,2)$, has the form $T^{ij} = -\frac{b}{2\pi\sqrt{-\gamma}}\epsilon^{in}\omega_n{}^c\gamma^{jk}e_{kc}$, and that of our model (40) is $T^{ij} = -\frac{b}{2\pi\sqrt{-\gamma}}\epsilon^{in}\Big(\omega_n{}^c - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_n{}^c\Big)\gamma^{jk}e_{kc}$, but their values are the same in two models. Indeed, we have a shift $\omega^a_\mu \to \omega^a_\mu - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A^a_\mu$ in the spin connection as in [4]. Then, in one hand the geometries of the boundaries of these two models (i.e. γ_{ij}) are the same and on the other hand the values of the stress tensor are also the same in two models, and consequently we have the same central charges for these models. This motivates a question: Are there two different $2+1$ dimensional gravity models such that they have the same CFT at their boundaries? Indeed, in the following we show that the answer is positive and that these two $2+1$ dimensional gravities (i.e. Chern-Simons models with the semi-simple extension of Poincaré gauge group and SO(2,2) [8]) are dual to each other (of course, for special values of the constants a, b and d of the ad-invariant metric).

We note that for arbitrary values of the constants a, b and d of the ad-invariant metric, there is no general map to relate the $SO(2,2)$ Chern-Simons model to the Chern-Simons action with semi-simple extension of the

Poincaré gauge group (40). However, by selecting $d = \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{k}\ b$ ($K^- = 0$ using (63)), the Chern-Simons model with gauge group $SO(2,2)$ having the following form:

$$\tilde{I} = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_M d^3x\ \epsilon^{ijk}\Big\{2b'\ e_{ic}(D_j\omega_k{}^c - \frac{1}{3}\lambda\epsilon^{abc}e_{ja}\ e_{kb}) + a'\ \omega_{ic}(\partial_j\ \omega_k{}^c - \partial_k\ \omega_j{}^c + \frac{2}{3}\ \epsilon^{abc}\omega_{ja}\ \omega_{kb}) + a'\ \lambda\ e_{ic}\ D_j e_k{}^c\ \Big\}, \tag{106}$$

is dual to our model (40); i.e. the following map

$$\begin{aligned} e_i{}^a &\to\ \Xi\ (e_i{}^a + \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{k}A_i{}^a), \\ \omega_i{}^a &\to\ \omega_i{}^a + \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2}\ (e_i{}^a + \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{k}A_i{}^a), \end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{-\Lambda}{4\ \Xi^2}, \qquad b' = b, \qquad a' = a,$$

transforms this model (106) to our model (40), where a' and b' are arbitrary constants of the SO(2,2) ad-invariant metric and

$$\Xi = 1 - \frac{a\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{2b}.$$

Indeed, this map is a canonical transformation and one can see that the following canonical Poisson-brackets and the Hamiltonian related to the $SO(2,2)$ Chern-Simons model

$$\{(\tilde{\Pi}_e)_i{}^a(x)\ ,\ e_j{}^b(y)\} = \{(\tilde{\Pi}_\omega)_i{}^a(x)\ ,\ \omega_j{}^b(y)\} = \epsilon_{ij}\eta^{ab}\delta^2(x-y), \tag{108}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \int d^3x\Big((\tilde{\Pi}_e)^i{}_a\ \partial_t e_i{}^a + (\tilde{\Pi}_\omega)^i{}_a\ \partial_t\omega_i{}^a\Big) - \tilde{I} \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int d^3x\epsilon^{ij}\Big(8b'\omega_{ia}\partial_t e_j{}^a + 4a'(\omega_{ia}\partial_t\omega_j{}^a + \lambda e_{ia}\partial_t e_j{}^a)\Big) - \tilde{I}, \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\Pi}_e)_i{}^a &= \frac{\partial\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial(\partial_t e^i{}_a)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ \epsilon_i{}^j(b'\omega_j{}^a + \lambda a' e_j{}^a), \\ (\tilde{\Pi}_\omega)_i{}^a &= \frac{\partial\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\partial(\partial_t\omega^i{}_a)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ \epsilon_i{}^j(b' e_j{}^a + a'\omega_j{}^a), \\ (\tilde{\Pi}_e)_0{}^a &= (\tilde{\Pi}_\omega)_0{}^a = 0, \end{aligned}$$

are transformed to the following Poisson-brackets and the Hamiltonian related to our model (40)

$$\{(\Pi_e)_i{}^a(x)\ ,\ e_j{}^b(y)\} = \{(\Pi_\omega)_i{}^a(x)\ ,\ \omega_j{}^b(y)\} = \{(\Pi_A)_i{}^a(x)\ ,\ A_j{}^b(y)\} = \epsilon_{ij}\eta^{ab}\delta^2(x-y), \tag{110}$$

$$H = -\frac{1}{8\pi}\int d^3x\epsilon^{ij}\Big[8b(\omega_{ia} - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_{ia})\partial_t e_j{}^a + 4a\omega_{ia}\partial_t\omega_j{}^a + 4d(ke_{ia}\partial_t e_j{}^a - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_{ia}\partial_t A_j{}^a + 2\omega_{ia}\partial_t A_j{}^a)\Big] - I, \tag{111}$$

where $\epsilon^{12} = +1$, the indices $i, j = 1, 2$ are the spatial indices, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi_e)_i{}^a &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t e^i{}_a)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ \epsilon_i{}^j\Big(b(\omega_j{}^a - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_j{}^a) + kd\ e_j{}^a\Big), \\ (\Pi_\omega)_i{}^a &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t\omega^i{}_a)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ \epsilon_i{}^j\Big(b\ e_j{}^a + a\omega_j{}^a + dA_j{}^a\Big), \\ (\Pi_A)_i{}^a &= \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t A^i{}_a)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ \epsilon_i{}^j\Big(d(\omega_j{}^a - \frac{\Lambda}{k}A_j{}^a) - \frac{\Lambda}{k}b\ e_j{}^a\Big), \\ (\Pi_e)_0{}^a &= (\Pi_\omega)_0{}^a = (\Pi_A)_0{}^a = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

are the conjugate momentums corresponding to the gauge fields $h_i{}^a = (e_i{}^a, \omega_i{}^a, A_i{}^a)$, which according to (107) are transformed as

$$(\tilde{\Pi}_e)_i{}^a \;\rightarrow\; \frac{1}{2\Xi}\Big((\Pi_e)_i{}^a - \sqrt{-\Lambda}\;(\Pi_\omega)_i{}^a + \frac{k}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}(\Pi_A)_i{}^a\Big)$$

$$(\tilde{\Pi}_\omega)_i{}^a \;\rightarrow\; (\Pi_\omega)_i{}^a. \tag{113}$$

If we require that the maps (107) relate the equations of motion for the $SO(2,2)$ Chern-Simons model to the equations of motion (44)-(46), we must place another restriction on the constants of the ad-invariant metric as $a = \frac{b}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}$ ($\overline{K} = 0$ using (63)). Now, these results mean that the two different $2+1$ dimensional gravities with *Ads* background, are dual to each other for the special values of the constants a, b and d ($d = \frac{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}{k}\, b$ and $a = \frac{b}{\sqrt{-\Lambda}}$), and in this way they have the same CFT at the boundary. Furthermore, from the quantization of the levels of the Chern-Simons model [19] we conclude that the $(K^{\pm}, \overline{K})$ must be integer numbers. Then, from (63) we have

$$d = -\frac{\Lambda}{k}(K^+ + K^-), \qquad b = \sqrt{-\Lambda}(K^+ - K^-), \qquad a = K^+ + K^- + \overline{K}, \tag{114}$$

i.e. the constants a, b and d of the ad-invariant metric of $\mathcal{S}$ have discrete values.[6]

5 Conclusions

We have presented the nine dimensional Maxwell and the semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebras for $2+1$ dimensional spacetime and obtained $2+1$ dimensional gravity (with cosmological term) coupled to gauge fields by gauge symmetric models, equivalent to Chern-Simons models over the mentioned gauge groups. Some *Ads* and BTZ type solutions for the equations of motion for these models have been obtained. For the Chern-Simons model with semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge group we have shown that at the boundary, this model is equivalent to CFT model i.e. a sum of three $SO(2,1)$ WZW chiral model.[7] Then, we show that the central charge of the CFT is the same as that of CFT at the boundary of *Ads* spacetime related to the Chern-Simons model with gauge group $SO(2,2)$. Furthermore, we show that these two $2+1$ dimensional gravities are dual to each other i.e. there is a canonical transformation which transforms one model to the other one. The study of string theory in these *Ads* and BTZ backgrounds is an open problem. Also, the study of Maxwell and semi-simple extension of the Poincaré algebra in $1+1$ dimensional spacetime and the related models are other open problems. Some of these problems are under our investigation.

Acknowledgments: We would like to express our heartfelt gratitude to F. Darabi and M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari for carefully reading the manuscript and his useful comments. This research was supported by a research fund No. 217D4310 from Azarbaijan Shahid Madani university.

References

[1] H. Bacry, P. Combe and J. L. Richard, *"Group-theoretical analysis of elementary particles in an external electromagnetic field. 1. the relativistic particle in a constant and uniform field"*, Nuovo Cim. **A67**, (1970) 267-299 ; ibid. **A70**, 289-312 (1970).

[2] R. Schrader, *"The Maxwell Group and the Quantum Theory of Particles in Classical Homogeneous Electromagnetic Fields"*, Fortsch. Phys. **20** (1972) 701-734.

[3] S.W. MacDowell, F. Mansouri, *"Unified geometric theory of gravity and supergravity"*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 739-742.

[4] J.A. de Azcarraga, K. Kamimura and J. Lukierski, *"Generalized cosmological term from Maxwell symmetries"*, Phys. Rev. **D83**, (2011) 124036; [arXiv:1012.4402[hep-th]].

[6] Note that from non degeneracy of the ad-invariant metric we have $d \neq 0$.

[7] After finishing this work, we noticed that some new works was put in arXiv about the Chern-Simons models with both Maxwell and semi-simple extension of the Poincaré gauge groups in $2+1$ dimensions (see [20] and [21]).

[5] D.V. Soroka and V.A. Soroka, *"Gauge semi-simple extension of the Poincaré group"*, Phys. Lett. **B707** (2012) 160-162; [arXiv:1101.1591[hep-th]].

[6] S. Bonanos, J. Gomis, K. Kamimura and J. Lukierski, *"Maxwell Superalgebra and Superparticle in Constant Gauge Backgrounds",* Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, (2010) 090401; [arXiv:0911.5072[hep-th]].

[7] J.A. de Azcarraga, K. Kamimura and J. Lukierski, *"Maxwell symmetries and some applications",* Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **23** (2013) 01160, [arXiv:1201.2850[hep-th]].

[8] E. Witten, *"*$2+1$ *Dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system",* Nucl. Phys. **B311** (1988/89) 46-78.

[9] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *"The black hole in three dimensional spacetime",* Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849, [arXiv:hep-th/9204099]; M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *"Geometry of the* $2+1$ *black hole",* Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1506, [arXiv:gr-qc/9302012].

[10] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *"Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from three-dimensional gravity",* Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 207.

[11] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux, and P. van Driel, *"The asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant",* Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 2961, [arXiv:gr-qc/9506019].

[12] C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, *"A WZW model based on a non-semi-simple group",* Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, (1993) 3751-3753, [arXiv:hep-th/9310112].

[13] J.D. Brown and J.W. York, *"Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action",* Phys. Rev. **D47**, (1993) 1407, [arXiv:gr-qc/9209012].

[14] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *"A stress tensor for anti-de sitter gravity",* Comm. Math. Phys. **208** (1999) 413-428, [arXiv:hep-th/9902121].

[15] Ph.D. Francesco, P. Mathieu, D. Sénéchal, *"Conformal Field Theory",* Springer-Verlag New York, Inc, (1997).

[16] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, *"The holographic Weyl anomaly",* JHEP **9807** (1998) 023, [arXiv:hep-th/9806087].

[17] C. Fefferman and C.R. Graham, *"Conformal Invariants",* in Elie Cartan et les Mathématiques d'aujourd'hui (Astérisque, 1985) 95.

[18] M. Hasanpour, F. Loran and H. Razaghian, *"Gravity/CFT correspondence for three dimensional Einstein gravity with a conformal scalar field",* Nucl. Phys. **B867** (2013) 483-505, [arXiv:1104.5142[hep-th]].

[19] E. Witten, *"Three-Dimensional Gravity Reconsidered",* [arXiv:0706.3359[hep-th]].

[20] P. Salgado, R.J. Szabo, O. Valdivia, *"Topological gravity and transgression holography",* [arXiv:1401.3653[hep-th]].

[21] J. Díaz, O. Fierro, F. Izaurieta, N. Merino, E. Rodrguez, P. Salgado, O. Valdivia, *"A generalized action for (2+1)-dimensional Chern-Simons gravity",* J. Phys. A45 (2012) 255207, [arXiv: 1311.2215[hep-th]].